1 2	Gary M. Hoffman (<i>Pro Hac Vice</i>) Kenneth W. Brothers(<i>Pro Hac Vice</i>) DICKSTEIN SHAPIRO MORIN	
3	& OSHINSKY, LLP 2101 L Street, NW	
4	Washington, DC 20037-1526 Phone (202) 785-9700	
	Fax (202) 887-0689	
5 6	Edward A. Meilman (<i>Pro Hac Vice</i>) DICKSTEIN SHAPIRO MORIN	
	& OSHINSKY, LLP	
7	1177 Avenue of the Americas New York, New York 10036-2714	
8	Phone (212) 835-1400 Fax (212) 997-9880	
9	Jeffrey B. Demain, State Bar No. 126715	
10	Jonathan Weissglass, State Bar No. 185008	
11	ALTSHULER, BERZON, NUSSBAUM, R 177 Post Street, Suite 300	RUBIN & DEMAIN
12	San Francisco, California 94108 Phone (415) 421-7151	
13	Fax (415) 362-8064	
	Attorneys for Ricoh Company, Ltd.	
14		
15 16	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION	
17		Turvelsee Division
18 19	SYNOPYSY INC.,) CASE NO. CV 03-02289-MJJ
	Plaintiff,	RICOH'S MOTION TO ADVANCE THE
20	VS.) CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE
21	RICOH COMPANY LTD.,)) Date: Not Set
22	Defendant.) Time: Not Set) Courtroom: 11
23	Defendant.)
24)
25))
26		,
27		
28		
20	CASE NO. CV 03-02289-MJJ	

CASE NO. CV 03-02289-MJJ RICOH'S MOTION TO ADVANCE THE CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE Defendant, Ricoh Company, Ltd. (Ricoh), seeks an Order from this Court under Local Rule 6-3(a) to hold the Case Management Conference at a date to be set by the Court which is earlier than the presently scheduled date of February 10, 2004.

Plaintiff's counsel has stated they have no objection in principle to an earlier Case Management Conference.

RELIEF REQUESTED

Specifically, Ricoh seeks an Order from this Court scheduling the Case Management Conference at a date earlier than the presently scheduled date of February 10, 2004. Ricoh proposes the Case Management Conference be rescheduled for December 9, 2003 when counsel for all parties will be present to argue a pending motion.

MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES

I. STATEMENT OF ISSUE TO BE DECIDED

Whether this Court should schedule the Case Management Conference at a date earlier than the presently scheduled date?

II. ARGUMENT

This case is related to another case now before this Court, *Ricoh Company Ltd v. Aeroflex, Inc. et al*, CV 03-04669-MJJ. The *Ricoh v. Aeroflex* case was begun in Delaware in January 2003. After a Case Management Conference was held in Delaware (a copy of the resulting Scheduling Order is attached to the Meilman Declaration as Exhibit A) and discovery was ongoing for months, the action was transferred to this Court. After being received in the Northern District of California, the case transferred within the District several times and is now assigned to Judge Jenkins because it is a related case. Case Management Conference notices by the clerk of this court were issued and vacated as transfers within this District took place - - the Case Management Conference in this District is now scheduled for February 10, 2004. A motion to advance the Conference is being concurrently made in the case.

The Case Management Conference in the above-captioned case was originally scheduled for September 16, 2003. The Conference was subsequently rescheduled multiples times and became set for hearing on October 28, 2003, and the parties prepared and filed a Joint Case Management Conference Report. The October 28, 2003 date was then rescheduled and the Case Management Conference is now set for February 10, 2004, five (5) months after the original date.

In their motion to transfer to this Court, the defendants in *Ricoh v. Aeroflex* asked the Delaware court to transfer the action here so that it could be consolidated with this *Synopsys v. Ricoh* action; Ricoh hereby joins in the suggestion for consolidation. While consolidation has not yet taken place, the defendants in *Ricoh v. Aeroflex* have filed a motion to stay that case in its entirety which is scheduled to be heard on December 9, 2003. Ricoh is opposing that motion on many grounds, including, *inter alia*, the need for discovery of those defendants in the present case even if a stay is granted and that even if Synopsys prevails in this action, the issues in the *Aeroflex* action will not necessarily be resolved, requiring that case to be reactivated anew after a delay of more than one year.

Ricoh submits that holding a Case Management Conference in this action concurrently with that in *Ricoh v. Aeroflex* is clearly appropriate. Accordingly, if the Case Management Conference in the related action is advanced, the Case Management Conference in this action should also be advanced to the same date and time. Ricoh proposes December 9, 2003 when the Court is scheduled to hear a motion in the related matter and counsel for all parties in this and the related case will be before the Court.

Even if a stay of the *Ricoh v. Aeroflex* case is granted, an earlier Case Management Conference should be held. Synopsys announced its contention that it was the real party in interest and intended to file a transfer motion at a court hearing in Delaware on May 16, 2003, although it then delayed for almost a month before filing the motion to transfer (Meilman Declaration, paragraph 3 and Exh. B). This dispute will thus have been effectively ongoing for almost nine months without a Conference by the date of the currently scheduled Conference.

III CONCLUSION

For the foregoing reasons, the Court should reset the date for the Case Management Conference earlier than February 10, 2004, and preferably on December 9, 2003.

5

Dated: November 17, 2003

Respectfully submitted,

Ricoh Company, Ltd.

By: _____

Jeffrey B. Demain, State Bar No. 126715 Jonathan Weissglass, State Bar No. 185008 Altshuler, Berzon, Nussbaum, Rubin & Demain 177 Post Street, Suite 300 San Francisco, California 94108

Phone: (415) 421-7151 Fax: (415) 362-8064

Gary M. Hoffman Ken Brothers Eric Oliver DICKSTEIN SHAPIRO MORIN & OSHINSKY LLP 2101 L Street NW Washington, D.C. 20037-1526 Telephone: (202) 785-9700 Facsimile: (202) 887-0689

Edward A. Meilman
DICKSTEIN SHAPIRO MORIN &
OSHINSKY LLP
1177 Avenue of the Americas
New York, New York 10036
Telephone: (212) 896-5471
Facsimile: (212) 997-9880

Attorneys for Ricoh Company, Ltd.

7

6

1

2

3

4

9

8

10

1112

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

2526

27

28

CASE NO. CV 03-02289-MJJ RICOH'S MOTION TO ADVANCE THE CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE